

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/475,364		12/30/1999	RAYMOND G. MATHER	E-906	6248
919	7590	10/31/2005		EXAM	INER
PITNEY	BOWES I	NC.	ROBINSON BOYCE, AKIBA K		
35 WATE	ERVIEW DE	RIVE			
P.O. BOX	3000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MSC 26-2	22		3639		
SHELTO	N, CT 064	84-8000	DATE MAILED: 10/31/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X
0

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/475,364	MATHER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Akiba K. Robinson-Boyce	3639

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires ___ __months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) 🖾 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event; however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) Will not be entered, or b) Will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s):allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: __ AFFIDAVITIOR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _ 13. Other: バビ出 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant argues that Tams et al does not disclose the present invention since Tams et al is not directed to tracking receipt and internal movement resulting in a delivery or other final disposition. The applicant also argues that in Tams et al , there are only five table formats available for use, and the format selected is based entirely on the type of probe, where the appplicant argues that in the present invention, the system is configurable to allow a user to create one or more data collection formats at the base station, transmit the data collection format to the portable data terminal and collect the data in the data collection format at the portable data terminal. However, as described in the rejection, Tams et al does not specifically disclose that the system is for tracking packages within an organization, but does disclose a system for collecting, monitoring and processing traffic for network data, as shown in col. 9, lines 27-38. As describe'd in Merriam Webster's dictionary, the term "traffic" is 'defined by "The movement through an area or along a route". This is where the Rauber et al reference came in. Rauber discloses that his system is for tracking packages within an organization in the abstract, lines 1-8. Rauber et al discloses this limitation in an analogous art for the purpose of showing that the management of inventory can be used forltracking inventory as it passes through various stages within the warehouse. In addition, the Tams et al reference discloses a management system, which acts as the base station. It is at the management system where a probe is detected and a table format is determined, or if any format conversions are needed for that type of probe as shown in col. 11, lines 19-23. The probe is then intitialized via the mangement system to be used with the format specified for the probe, for the collection of data. This situation is analogous to the present invention in that at the management station, the format of the probe is customized into a format which is most effective for collecting a maximum degree of informtion for that probe. In this case, a data collection format is created through selection of available collection formats.